

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,791 | 08/19/2003 | Anthony A. Gallo | 3833-030392 (LDEO-108) | 7402 |
| 7590 04/14/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Webb Ziesenheim Lodsdon | | | SELLERS, ROBERT E | |
| Orkin & Hanson, P.C. 700 Koppers Building | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 436 Seventh Avenue | | | 1712 | |
| Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818 | | | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address the reply filed 07 April 2006 is acknowledged. The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent

The reply filed 07 April 2006 is acknowledged.
The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:

a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

- 3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- 4. Other: Claims 1-15 and 25-27 are rejected. Claims 16-24 have been cancelled.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,791

Art Unit: 1712

1. The translation of Japanese Patent No. 11-100492 filed April 7, 2006 does not discount the reasons for the rejections of claims 1-15 and 25-27 over Gallo Patent No. 6,432,540 and Japanese Patent Nos. 11-269347 and 10-212396 in view of Japanese '492 as well as Japanese '492 in view of Gallo and Japanese '347. The application of Japanese '492 as a secondary reference in the first mentioned rejection is the motivation for incorporating melamine cyanurate with the tungsten or molybdenum trioxide of Gallo and Japanese '347 and '396 in order to impart high temperature reliability and solder heat resistance along with fire retardance (page 9, paragraph 23). The presence of absence of the additional metal oxide fire retardant does not diminish the rationale.

2. Regarding the implementation of Japanese '492 as a primary reference, the use of metal oxide fire retardant disclosed on page 9, paragraph 22 is not confined to the exemplified nickel oxide. The evidence presented in Tables 1 and 3 on pages 14 and 16, respectively, concerning nickel oxide does not mitigate the reason for employing a particular metal oxide of Japanese '492 such as the tungsten trioxide of Gallo and Japanese '347 in order to improve the moisture resistance (Gallo, col. 1, lines 28-32 and col. 5, lines 49-51) and to optimize the balance between fire retardance and hardenability (Japanese '347, page 4, paragraph 11, lines 4-5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

rs

4/12/2006

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2